

Various Rating Actions Taken In Four Paragon Mortgages U.K. RMBS Transactions

September 2, 2019

Ratings List

Issuer

Paragon Mortgages (No.11) PLC		
Class	Rating to	Rating from
A1	AAA (sf)	AAA (sf)
A2a	AAA (sf)	AAA (sf)
A2b	AAA (sf)	AAA (sf)
B1a	AA+ (sf)	AA- (sf)
B1b	AA+ (sf)	AA- (sf)
C1b	AA- (sf)	A+ (sf)
lasus		

Paragon Mortgages (No.12) PLC

Class	Rating to	Rating from
A1 (long-term)	AAA (sf)	AAA (sf)
A1 (short-term)	NR	A-1 (sf)
A2a	AAA (sf)	AAA (sf)
A2b	AAA (sf)	AAA (sf)
A2c	AAA (sf)	AAA (sf)
B1a	AA (sf)	A (sf)
B1b	AA (sf)	A (sf)
C1a	A+ (sf)	A- (sf)
C1b	A+ (sf)	A- (sf)
Issuer		

Paragon Mortgages (No.14) PLC

Class	Rating to	Rating from
A1	AAA (sf)	AAA (sf)
A2a	AAA (sf)	AAA (sf)
A2b	AAA (sf)	AAA (sf)

PRIMARY CREDIT ANALYST

Nicola Dobson London (44) 20-7176-3879 nicola.dobson @spglobal.com

Ratings List (cont.)

A2c	AAA (sf)	AAA (sf)
B1a	AA+ (sf)	AA+ (sf)
B1b	AA+ (sf)	AA+ (sf)
C1a	A+ (sf)	A (sf)
C1b	A+ (sf)	A (sf)
Issuer		
100001		
Paragon Mortgages (No.15) PLC		
	Rating to	Rating from
Paragon Mortgages (No.15) PLC	Rating to AAA (sf)	Rating from AAA (sf)
Paragon Mortgages (No.15) PLC Class		

AAA (sf)

AA+ (sf)

AA+ (sf)

A+ (sf)

AAA (sf)

AA (sf)

AA (sf)

BBB+ (sf)

NR--Not rated.

A2c B1a

B1b

C1b

Overview

- We have reviewed Paragon Mortgages 11, 12, 14, and 15 following the implementation of our revised counterparty criteria and U.K. RMBS criteria.
- Paragon Mortgages 11, 12, 14, and 15 are U.K. buy-to-let transactions securitizing loans that Paragon Mortgages and Mortgage Trust originated.

LONDON (S&P Global Ratings) Sept. 2, 2019--S&P Global Ratings today took various credit rating actions on the notes issued by Paragon Mortgages (No. 11) PLC, Paragon Mortgages (No. 12) PLC, Paragon Mortgages (No. 14) PLC, and Paragon Mortgages (No. 15) PLC (see list above).

Today's rating actions follow the implementation of our counterparty criteria and assumptions for assessing pools of residential loans (see "Related Criteria"). They also reflect our full analysis of the most recent transaction information that we have received and the transactions' current structural features.

Upon revising our structured finance counterparty criteria, we placed our ratings on all classes of notes from these transactions under criteria observation (see "Ratings Placed Under Criteria Observation Due To Revised Counterparty Risk Criteria," and "Counterparty Risk Framework: Methodology And Assumptions," published on March 8, 2019). Following our review of the transaction's performance, the application of our structured finance counterparty criteria, and our updated criteria for rating U.K. RMBS transactions (see "Global Methodology And Assumptions: Assessing Pools Of Residential Loans," published on Jan. 25, 2019), our ratings on these notes are no longer under criteria observation.

Barclays Bank UK PLC provides the currency swap contract, which was in line with our previous

counterparty criteria. For Paragon 11 and 14, the replacement trigger for the swap counterparty has been confirmed as the long-term issuer credit rating (ICR) of 'BBB+'. Under our revised counterparty criteria, the resolution counterparty rating (RCR) on Barclays Bank UK is the applicable rating type. Therefore, we've imputed an 'A-' RCR replacement trigger from the 'BBB+' ICR replacement trigger given there is currently one notch of RCR uplift for Barclays Bank UK. We assess the collateral framework as adequate as the documented volatility buffers are higher than those outlined for adequate collateral in our criteria. With an adequate collateral framework and 'A-' replacement trigger, the swap supports a maximum potential rating of 'AAA (sf)'.

For Paragon 12 and 15, the replacement trigger for the swap counterparty is defined as the long-term ICR of 'A-'. Under our revised counterparty criteria, the resolution counterparty rating (RCR) on Barclays Bank UK is the applicable rating type. Therefore, we've imputed an 'A' RCR replacement trigger from the 'A-' ICR replacement trigger given there is currently one notch of RCR uplift for Barclays Bank UK. We assess the collateral framework as moderate as the documented volatility buffers are lower than those outlined for adequate collateral in our criteria. With a moderate collateral framework and 'A' replacement trigger, the swap supports a maximum potential rating of 'AAA (sf)'.

After applying our updated U.K. RMBS criteria, the overall effect in our credit analysis results in a decrease in the weighted-average foreclosure frequency (WAFF) at all rating levels for Paragon 11 and at 'AAA' to 'BBB' for Paragon 12, 14, and 15. This is mainly due to the changes to adjustments of buy-to-let (BTL) loans, including no longer applying interest-only adjustments to BTL loans. Our weighted-average loss severity (WALS) assumptions have decreased at all rating levels driven by the revised jumbo valuation thresholds and the introduction of a separate Greater London category.

Credit Analysis Results

Paragon Mortgages (No. 11) PLC

Rating level	WAFF (%)	WALS (%)
AAA	12.29	40.91
AA	8.65	32.63
A	6.79	18.45
BBB	4.94	10.86
BB	3.08	6.57
В	2.62	3.63

Credit Analysis Results

Paragon Mortgages (No. 12) PLC

Rating level	WAFF (%)	WALS (%)
AAA	13.28	40.33
AA	9.71	32.50
A	7.90	18.71
BBB	6.10	11.18
ВВ	4.30	6.94
В	3.84	4.03

Credit Analysis Results

Paragon Mortgages (No. 14) PLC

Talagoli Wortgages (No. 14) TEO		
Rating level	WAFF (%)	WALS (%)
AAA	13.96	45.70
AA	10.20	37.61
A	8.24	23.36
BBB	6.28	14.95
BB	4.30	10.23
В	3.81	6.74

Credit Analysis Results

Paragon Mortgages (No. 15) PLC

- aragon mortgagoo (1101 10) 1 20		
Rating level	WAFF (%)	WALS (%)
AAA	13.97	45.96
AA	9.97	38.47
A	7.93	25.03
BBB	5.84	17.06
ВВ	3.73	12.10
В	3.20	8.36

We have determined that our assigned ratings on these transactions' classes of notes should be the lower of the rating as capped by our counterparty criteria and the rating that the class can attain under our credit and cash flow analysis. Today's upgrades and affirmations reflect these factors.

We have withdrawn our short-term rating on Paragon 12's class A1 notes following notice that the A1 notes' conditional purchaser has drawn upon the conditional note liquidity facility, provided by Barclays Bank PLC (A/A-1) to purchase these notes. The short-term rating on the class A1 notes was weak-linked to the short-term issuer credit rating on Barclays Bank PLC, as the obligation to fund a possible purchase of the class A1 notes was provided by Barclays Bank PLC.

Paragon 11, 12, 14 and 15 are U.K. BTL transactions securitizing loans that Paragon Mortgages and Mortgage Trust originated.

Related Criteria

- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Counterparty Risk Framework: Methodology And Assumptions, March 8, 2019
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Incorporating Sovereign Risk In Rating Structured Finance Securities: Methodology And Assumptions, Jan. 30, 2019
- Criteria | Structured Finance | RMBS: Global Methodology And Assumptions: Assessing Pools Of Residential Loans, Jan. 25, 2019
- Legal Criteria: Structured Finance: Asset Isolation And Special-Purpose Entity Methodology, March 29, 2017

Various Rating Actions Taken In Four Paragon Mortgages U.K. RMBS Transactions

- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Methodology: Criteria For Global Structured Finance Transactions Subject To A Change In Payment Priorities Or Sale Of Collateral Upon A Nonmonetary EOD, March 2, 2015
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Global Framework For Assessing Operational Risk In Structured Finance Transactions, Oct. 9, 2014
- Criteria Structured Finance General: Global Framework For Cash Flow Analysis Of Structured Finance Securities, Oct. 9, 2014
- General Criteria: Methodology Applied To Bank Branch-Supported Transactions, Oct. 14, 2013
- Criteria Structured Finance General: Global Derivative Agreement Criteria, June 24, 2013
- Criteria Structured Finance General: Criteria Methodology Applied To Fees, Expenses, And Indemnifications, July 12, 2012
- General Criteria: Global Investment Criteria For Temporary Investments In Transaction Accounts, May 31, 2012
- General Criteria: Methodology: Credit Stability Criteria, May 3, 2010
- Criteria Structured Finance General: Standard & Poor's Revises Criteria Methodology For Servicer Risk Assessment, May 28, 2009

Related Research

- U.K. RMBS Index Report Q2 2019, Aug. 27, 2019
- U.K.-Based The Guinness Partnership Outlook Revised To Negative On Weaker Financial Performance; 'A' Rating Affirmed, Aug. 13, 2019
- European Economic Snapshots: Domestic Demand Still A Safety Net, April 12, 2019
- Outlook Assumptions For The U.K. Residential Mortgage Market, Sept. 4, 2018
- Criteria And Guidance: Understanding The Difference, Dec. 15, 2017
- Various Rating Actions Taken In U.K. RMBS Transaction Paragon Mortgages 14 Following Review, Sept. 5, 2017
- 2017 EMEA RMBS Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis, July 6, 2017
- Ratings Raised In U.K. RMBS Transaction Paragon Mortgages 11 Following Review, April 7, 2017
- Global Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis 2016: The Effects Of The Top Five Macroeconomic Factors, Dec. 16, 2016
- European Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis 2016: The Effects Of The Top Five Macroeconomic Factors, Dec. 16, 2016
- Various Rating Actions Taken In U.K. RMBS Transactions Paragon Mortgages 15 And 21, Dec. 9,
- Various Rating Actions Taken In U.K. RMBS Transactions Paragon Mortgages 12, 18, And 19, Nov. 16, 2016



Copyright © 2019 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.